Evaluation A Systematic Approach

Peter H. Rossi, Mark W. Lipsey, and Gary T. Henry

Chapter 9: Detecting, Interpreting, and Exploring Program Effects

- Small effects are more difficult to detect than larger ones.
- Difference on the outcome between treatment and no treatment

- Percentage increase or decrease
- Effect size statistic
- Standardized mean difference
- Odds ratio

- Standardized Mean Difference
 - Appropriate with continuous outcome measures
 - Positive value when the outcome is more favorable

- Odds Ratio
 - Binary outcome measure
 - Configured in a 2x2 table

Odds Ratio

	Positive Outcome	Negative Outcome
Intervention group	р	1 – p
Control group	q	1 – q

Detecting Program Effects

- Valence
- Magnitude
- Minimum detectable effect size

Detecting Program Effects (2 of 8)

- Practical Significance
 - Translate statistical effects into relevant terms
 - May need an external frame of reference
 - Pre-established threshold value
 - Similar programs

Detecting Program Effects

- Practical Significance
 - Difference on the Original Measurement Scale
 - Comparison with Test Norms or Performance of a Normative Population
 - Differences between Criterion Groups

Detecting Program Effects (4 of 8)

- Practical Significance
 - Proportion over a Diagnostic Success Threshold
 - Proportion over an Arbitrary Success Threshold
 - Comparison with Similar Programs
 - Conventional Guidelines

Detecting Program Effects (5 of 8)

- Statistical Significance
 - Measurement error
 - Sampling error
 - Sample size

Detecting Program Effects (6 of 8)

- Statistical Power
 - The effect size to be detected
 - The alpha level threshold for statistical significance
 - The sample size
 - The statistical significance test used

Detecting Program Effects (7 of 8)

- Statistical Power
 - Type I error
 - Type II error

Detecting Program Effects (8 of 8)

- Statistical Power
 - Effective sample size
 - Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC)

Examining Variation in Program Effects

(1 of 3)

- Program effects are rarely identical for all the subgroups in a target population or for all outcomes.
- Moderator variables

Examining Variation in Program Effects

(2 of 3)

- Moderator Analysis
 - Gender
 - Age
 - Race/ethnicity
 - Socioeconomic status
 - Others

Examining Variation in Program Effects

(3 of 3)

- Mediator Analysis
 - Mediator variable
 - Comes between program exposure and some key outcome

The Role of Meta-Analysis

(1 of 3)

- Collect all reports on prespecified criteria
 - Type of program
 - Nature of study samples
 - Region
 - Dates of research

The Role of Meta-Analysis

(2 of 3)

- Informing an Impact Assessment
 - Overall mean effect size for a program area
 - Can appraise the magnitude of the program effects that have been found in the study
 - May offer some clues about what features of the program are most critical

The Role of Meta-Analysis

(3 of 3)

- Informing the Evaluation Field
 - Meta-analysis is one of the principal means
 - The evaluation field becomes a stakeholder in every evaluation