## Chapter Nine

 IllustrationsRossi et. al. Evaluation

A Logic Model for a Training Program in an Industrial Setting That Promotes the Use of Equipment That Protects Against the Adverse Effects of the High Levels of Noise in That Environment


Diagram of the Hypothesized Mediational Relationship Between the Program and Use of Protective Devices


Total Sample Size Needed to Detect Different Minimum Detectible Effect Sizes With Different Levels of Statistical Power With and Without a Strong Covariate

| Power (beta) | Covariate | MDES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | . 10 | . 20 | . 30 | . 40 | . 50 |
| . 70 (.30) | No | 2,471 | 619 | 276 | 156 | 101 |
|  | Yes | 1,237 | 311 | 139 | 79 | 52 |
| . 80 (.20) | No | 3,142 | 787 | 351 | 198 | 128 |
|  | Yes | 1,572 | 395 | 177 | 100 | 65 |
| . 90 (.10) | No | 4,205 | 1,053 | 469 | 265 | 170 |
|  | Yes | 2,104 | 527 | 236 | 133 | 86 |
| . 95 (.05) | No | 5,200 | 1,302 | 580 | 327 | 210 |
|  | Yes | 2,601 | 652 | 291 | 165 | 106 |
| . 99 (.01) | No | 7,352 | 1,840 | 820 | 462 | 297 |
|  | Yes | 3,677 | 922 | 411 | 233 | 150 |

Note: Alpha $=.05$. MDES represented as the standardized mean difference effect size. Total sample size divided evenly between intervention and control groups. Baseline covariate that correlates .71 with the outcome measure, accounting for $50 \%$ of the variance on that measure. Power calculations done with PowerUp! software (Dong \& Maynard, 2013; Google "PowerUp! software" to locate current Source for free download).

## TABLE 9-D1

## Statistical Power for Cluster Assignment With Varying Intraclass Correlations and Number of Clusters With Total Sample Size and MDES Held Constant

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total sample }=1,000 \\ & \text { MDES }=.25 \end{aligned}$ | ICC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Clusters | . 00 | . 01 | . 05 | . 10 | . 20 | . 30 |
| 10 (100 per cluster) | . 90 | . 68 | . 24 | . 14 | . 08 | . 07 |
| 20 (50 per cluster) | . 96 | . 86 | . 51 | . 32 | . 19 | . 14 |
| 50 (20 per cluster) | . 97 | . 94 | . 79 | . 62 | . 42 | . 31 |
| 1,000 (1 per cluster) [no clustering] | . 98 |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Total sample size of 1,000 evenly divided between the intervention and control groups; MDES of .25. Outcomes are measured at the individual level. Statistical significance is tested at alpha $=.05$ (two-tailed). No baseline covariates are included in the analysis model. Power calculations were done with PowerUp! software (Dong \& Maynard, 2013; Google "PowerUp! software" to locate current Source for free download).

